PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION P036961-P0 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2005/000528 18.01.2005 20.01.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000528

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion	<u> </u>						
1.		gard to the language, this opinion	n has been established on the basis o	f the international application in the	language in which it was				
	\Box								
	Т	his opinion has been established o	on the basis of a translation from the	0 0					
		ule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	, which is the language of a transla	tion furnished for the purposes of in	ternational search (under				
2.			amino acid sequence disclosed in	the international application and i	necessary to the claimed				
,	inventi	on, this opinion has been establish	ed on the basis of:						
	a. ty	pe of material	•						
		a sequence listing							
		table(s) related to the sequence	ce listing						
	b. fe	ormat of material							
Ì		in written format							
		in computer readable form							
	c. ti	me of filing/furnishing							
		contained in the international	application as filed.						
		filed together with the interna	ational application in computer reada	ble form.					
ĺ	Г	furnished subsequently to thi	s Authority for the purposes of searc	h.					
3.	3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.								
4.	Additio	onal comments:							
1				•					
					2				
		•							
:									
	-	•		,					
		•	•	,					
				•					
				•					
		•							
				•					
					•				
					•				
1									

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000528

Box No. V		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	5-14, 22-35	YES	
	•	•	Claims	1-4, 15-21	NO	
	Inventive	step (IS)	Claims	5-11, 22-29	YES	
			Claims	1-4, 12-21, 30-35	NO	
	Industrial	applicability (IA)	Claims	1-35	YES	
			Claims		NO	
	•					

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 2003-271694, A (Fujitsu, Ltd.), 7 March, 2003 (07.03.03), full text & US, 2003/177428, A1

Document 2: JP, 8-54907, A (Yokokawa Electric Corporation), 27 February, 1996 (27.02.96), [0018] & US, 5758123, A

Claims 1-4 and 15-21

Document 1 describes a method for authenticating by simulation a model of a logic circuit including a processor. The document describes monitoring the condition of the logic circuit, judging it as an error status when a prescribed condition is met, and stopping the simulation.

Claims 12-14 and 30-35

Document 1 does not describe the point of performing a data change in stopping a simulation. However, document 2 describes that when an error is caused during a simulation in a debugger of a PLC sequence program, the simulation is stopped, the variable is changed and the simulation is resumed. Both relate to a debug technology using simulation, so that in the verification method described in the document 1, composing the same for changing data when an error is caused in a simulation could have easily been made by a person skilled in the art.

Claims 5, 22 and 23

With regard to a case when an expectation value becomes an undefined result, controls for stopping a simulation and returning to the previous status is not described nor suggested in documents 1 and 2.

Claims 6 and 24

With regard to a case when data do not meet the constraint conditions associated with a command during a simulation, replacing the command with another command which have no data constraint conditions and executing the latter is not described nor suggested in documents 1 and 2.

Claims 7-11 and 25-29

With regard to a case when data do not meet the constraint conditions associated with a command during a simulation, replacing the command with another command by which the data meet the constraint conditions and executing the latter is not described nor suggested in documents 1 and 2.